Case 3:13-cr-00191-EMC Document 8 Filed 04/17/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 13 - 191 - EMC
US Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
Eric Flentoi) Defendant.	APR 1 7 2013
For the reasons stated by the parties on the re Speedy Trial Act from	cord on April 77, 2013, the Court excludes time to the cord of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § g and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (i).
defendants, the nature or law, that it is unreasonable	omplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial tablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, se of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given a commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 4/17/13	NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: <u>Clauber</u> 70 Attorney for Defender	Assistant United States Attorney